



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,769	01/10/2004	Heinz Lemberger	HN 1008 PUS	1768	
27256 ART7 & ART	27256 7590 03/07/2007 ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.			EXAMINER	
28333 TELEGRAPH RD. SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			CHARLES, MARCUS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3682		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		03/07/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/707,769	LEMBERGER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marcus Charles	3682		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	•		
Disposition of Claims		(		
4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2, 4-45, 47, 49 and 5  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3,46, 48 and 50 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	<u>1-60</u> is/are withdrawn from consi	deration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2004 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the ore control	a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-14-06 and 01-08-07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the first action on the merit and responding tie the election of species filed relating 01-13-2007, which has been entered. Claims 1-60 are currently pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species (1) in the reply filed on 01-31-2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that that inventions are capable of use together and are not material different. This is not found persuasive because the inventions are structurally different and cannot be used tog ether. Each invention is independent of the next and is design to operate different and thus has different effect. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Note; It should be noted that there was a typing error in the restriction requirement in that in the figures representing species 1 should be 1-4 and for species 2, should be 5-8. Therefore, examiner fined it necessary to prosecute the claimed belonging to species1 (figs. 1-4).

- 2. Claims 9-45, 47,49 and 51-60, withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 01-31-2007.
- 3. In addition, claim 2 and 4-8 are withdrawn from consideration by the examiner because the plurality of torsion bars or a bundle of bars are not included in figs. 1-4 of the elected embodiment. Note the bundle of bars is shown only in fig. 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,769 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

#### **Priority**

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Drawings

5. This application is filed informal drawings are not of sufficient quality.

Accordingly, replacement-drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to this Office action. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. In addition, the drawings figures are unclear so as to be able to clearly identify the various sections of the drawing. Note, the spring bundles are not clearly defined by the drawing.

# Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification is replete with phrase "rotationally fast way". The intended scope of the phrase is unclear because it is not clear as to what the phrase means. In addition, the phrase "spring bundle 27". Is unclear and confusing. It is not clear if the term means a plurality of springs. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,769

Art Unit: 3682

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 4

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 1, 3, 46, 48 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, the phrase "rotationally fast way" renders the intended scope of the claims unclear because it is not clear as to what the phrase mean. In addition, in is not clear as to what the term rack is referring to because it is not clear as to how the spring assembly can be clamped into a rack.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green (660,570). **Green** discloses a belt-tensioning device comprising torsion spring assembly with a longitudinal axis (fig. 7), one torsion bar (G), the torsion spring assembly is clamped axially in the rotational, a tensioning arm (K) having one end arranged at the spring assembly so as to be aligned approximately relative to the longitudinal axis, a tensioning roller arranged at the other end of the arm, wherein the axis of rotation of the tensioning roller extends substantially parallel to the longitudinal

Art Unit: 3682

axis of the spring, wherein the tensioning arm is supported to oscillate about the longitudinal axis.

In claim 46 and 46, Green inherently discloses the claimed invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of Bogner (6,648,783). Green does not disclose the damping unit at the tensioning arm and the support. Bogner discloses a tensioner comprising an arm (49/47) and a support (44) and a damping mechanism (50, 51) at the arm and the support to damp radial vibration and to prevent noise. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the tensioner of Green to include a damping mechanism at the arm and the support in view of Bogner in order to damp radial vibration and to prevent noise.
- 13. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green in view of JP (06-159459). Green does not disclose the tension arm is supported related to the rotational axis by a spring. JP (06-159459) discloses a tensioner arm (12) being supported by a spring (2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Green so that the arm includes a support in view of JP (06-159459) in order to prevent inadvertent movement

Application/Control Number: 10/707,769 Page 6

Art Unit: 3682

of arm away from the tensioning direction during high loads thus preventing belt

slippage.

Citation

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Murray (3,136,170), Pfarrwaller (3,817,113), Arthur (4,464,146),

Izutsu et al. (5,334,109), Fraley, Jr. et al. (6,960,145), Dutile (6,575,860), Ayukawa

(6,264,578), Bogner (6,648,783) and WO (02/292279) disclose a tension with an arm

biasing to tension the slack side of a belt.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-

7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00

pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3682\

February 26, 2007